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Problem and Objective

Problem

– Standard DoD Acquisition VV&A processes are not keeping pace with the emerging technologies being created to support development of complex DoD systems. Specifically the extensive use of models throughout the development lifecycle has progressed without commensurate means for establishing the soundness of these immediate design artifacts.

Objective for this Research

– Explore unique capabilities of a semantically strong architectural description language (ADL) for developing high confidence (verified and validated) models as part of a system development lifecycle.
– Exercise a selection of these capabilities on a real-world, representative system
Model Explosion

- Operational Models
- System Models
- Component Models
- Functional/Behavior Model
- Performance Model
- Structural/Component Model
- Cost Model
- Safety Model
- Security Model
- Reliability Model
- Maintainability Model
- Structural Model
- Mass Production Model
- Manufacturing (Assembly) Models

- Modeling Domains
- Ops/Mission Analysis
- System Design
- Algorithm Development
- Hardware Design
- Software Design
- Logistics Support
- Manufacturing
- Integration & Test
- Performance Simulation
- Engineering Analysis
- Human System Integration
- System Architecture Model (Integration Framework)
- Analysis Models
- Hardware Models
- Software Models
- Verification Models
Segmented Development Lifecycles Underachieve the Promise of MBSE

Architecture & Trades
Specs
Systems Design
Systems Development
Integration
Test

CONOPs
Specs
CDRLs

Architecture Models
Trade Studies
Plans and Documentation
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Verification

Does the system do what it was specified to do?

1.1 The power supply bus capacity shall be 100 W.

1.1.1 Any component attached to the power supply bus shall draw less than 20W.
Verification

Does the system do what it was specified to do?

Current State

• Paper/English Specs
• Paper/English Design documentation
• Paper/English Test Plans and reports
• Manual execution of test cases

Future State

• Models as specs
• Model-based design documentation
• Auto-generated test plans and reports
• Automated testing and proofs

Most SE modeling languages/tools can help here.
Validation

Does the system behave the way it was intended to behave?

Functional Testing
Validation

*Does the system behave the way it was intended to behave?*

Model Fidelity Testing
Validation

Does the system behave the way it was intended to behave?

Current State
- Isolated, domain-specific models
- Validated against physical prototypes after designs largely committed
- Sporadic, manual use of correct-by-construction techniques

Future State
- Integrated, domain spanning analytical capability
- Validation in simulated environments
- Validation through broad use of correct-by-construction techniques

Will required semantic precise modeling languages to achieve full benefit.
Accreditation

Is there sufficient **evidence** to demonstrate the **safety/effectiveness** of the system?

- Are approved/controlled processes employed?
- Test artifacts
- Design artifacts
Accreditation

Is there sufficient evidence to demonstrate the safety/effectiveness of the system?

Current State
• Mountains of documentation that becomes shelfware
• Relies on extensive human review/evaluation
• Accredit only completed systems

Future State
• Documented design products and processes as reusable models and tools
• Trusted evidence that generated using tools/automation.
• Incremental, component based accreditation of composable systems

Will required trusted models and V&V technologies matured in cooperation with certification authorities.
Incremental V&V is the Key

Slide from Software Engineering Institute / CMU
O’Brien / Oct 29, 2010
How does AADL help with all this?

• Verification
  – Strongly typed language and precise semantics remove the ambiguity of current English Language specifications and enables automation of verification testing

• Validation
  – Strongly typed language allows intrinsic consistency checking
  – Precise semantics allows common understanding of model components across multiple stakeholders
  – Formalism enables model checking analysis
  – Language annex process provides extensibility
  – Designed to accommodate incremental validation (‘‘extends’’ construct)

• Accreditation
  – Auto-generation of artifacts
Multi-Notation Model Representation Approach with Minimal Information Replication

*Image and Data From: Challenges in Validating Safety-Critical Embedded Systems, Peter H. Feiler
Research Approach

• Model a complex system to explore the benefits of using AADL for VV&A
• Research the viability and capability of AADL to perform early VV&A by modeling a software intensive multifaceted system
• Determine the ability to migrate toolsets to the user community (learning curve, challenges, tool maturity, etc.)
Method for the Research

- Evaluate application of incremental verification and validation process with AADL as it relates to development or qualification of a STIL facility to create an accreditation through analysis
- Develop an AADL initial iteration of the model focused on the critical path
  - Review system requirements
  - Design and model the system architecture at a high-level using AADL
  - Associate model elements with the system requirements using AADL properties
  - Perform analysis using the OSATE AADL toolkit and other tools to check the model for validity and to check if the modeled architecture violates system requirements
- Reiterate the process by refining the model down to a lower level by adding more detail
- At the end of each iteration, trace the system requirements to the model and verification will be performed via analysis
- Determine techniques to validate (and validate if possible)
- Investigate the challenges and advantages of AADL for assisting implementation of VV&A practices and as a mechanism to advance VV&A techniques
- Document evidence that AADL is beneficial for assisting implementation of VV&A practices and as a mechanism to advance VV&A techniques
Expected Benefits of the Research

• Expand existing SAVI and AADL research in the area of incremental verification and validation on a model using the AADL language to demonstrate benefits for SILs and STILs
• Explore the impacts and benefits of using AADL for VV&A when modeling a complex system
• Consider how it might integrate into an overall process that includes virtual integration and enhanced use of qualified STILs
• Determine the ability to migrate toolsets to the user community (learning curve, challenges, etc.)
• Provide a foundation for this improved approach for future work in our lab and potential training for the community
• Develop a relationship with SAVI for future technology transition Document approach and benefits for OSD
Why Choose AADL for Modeling Language

• Selected testbed is a hard real-time system, which AADL was created to model
• Strong semantics is well suited for VV&A of these systems
• Established SAE Standard with an active user community
• Availability of tools and support
• Growing capability
• Interfaces with other tools and languages
Why Model the STIL?

Redstone Test Center’s System Test and Integration Laboratory (STIL)

- Provides a real-world problem of concern to DoD (Focus area 1)
- It is a software intensive distributed system that requires precise, and deterministic event ordering in order to meet requirements
- Possibility to incorporate existing models of helicopter systems from SAVI, Aviation Engineering Directorate, PM’s or RSESC’s research work for this effort or future efforts
- RTC, AED, SED and the PEO-Aviation Platform Offices interested in the STIL
- Users top concern is to not harm the aircraft, validation of the architecture could provide evidence to supporting a risk assessment
System to Model STIL

Test Control

Instrumentation

Hangar Environment
- Physical Aircraft
- Sub-System Stimulators

Virtual Environment
- Virtual Aircraft
- Pilot Or Virtual Pilot

Backbone
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AADL Overview

• AADL comes from a computer language tradition, rather than a diagrams tradition
• AADL was developed as a programming language not only to define the textual representation of software architecture but also (and more importantly) to formally define the syntax and semantics
• AADL, like its predecessor MetaH, produces language based modeling artifacts
Why Choose AADL for Early VV&A

• AADL provides standardized, well documented semantics to underlay tools, enabling ease of integration of tools based on AADL
• AADL’s strong semantics and textual notation enables integration of architecture specifications across entities. Loose or weak semantics require each user to add semantics which can be a major source of errors, inconsistency, and undefined assumptions
• AADL’s well formed architectural behavior semantics cover nominal and fault management behavior, and allow assessment of faulty or incomplete models
• AADL provides incremental verification and validation leading to a qualified system
• At each stage starting with the requirements you validate that the requirements (and then their allocated constraints on the next level) are sufficient and verify the correctness of that level
• The ultimate result through all phases is a qualified system
How does AADL support VV&A?

- The AADL is designed for software intensive systems such as the STIL
- By using a standardized foundation (AADL) for the M&S, verification and validation time is reduced.
- Verification is supported by the AADL syntax checker and analysis tools that can find problems with the construction of the model
- Validation is supported by having a prebuilt simulator that will reduce errors caused by building a custom simulator. By generating software code using the AADL model, the implemented system is more likely to correspond to the model and simulation
VV&A for this Effort

- **Verification**
  - Analysis tools will be used to verify that the model compiles correctly, and that it meets its derived requirements for the architecture level above it.
  - For instance, flow specifications will be used to verify that data and events flow through the system as planned and within time bounds and that processor and bus utilizations are within specification.

- **Validation**
  - Test cases will be produced and the expected results will be compared to the result of the simulation.
  - Model predictions will be calibrated against measurement on the STIL system and algorithms adjusted to enhance predictive capability from the models.
## Schedule and Deliverables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>1) Meetings</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2010</td>
<td>a) Conduct Kickoff Meeting</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2010</td>
<td>a) Interim status review meeting</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>a) Continue working with the Aviation Engineering Directorate (AED), the Software Engineering Directorate (SED) and the SAVI initiative by arranging regular meetings to leverage work that is presently being done and coordinate efforts.</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2010</td>
<td>a) Status Meeting</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2011</td>
<td>a) Phase 1 Final Review</td>
<td>Presentation and demo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>2) Demonstrate AADL-based VV&amp;A capabilities in the context of conceptual architecture modeling</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/2010</td>
<td>a) Model Conceptual Architecture in AADL based on information from STIL POC.</td>
<td>AADL models with final report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/2010</td>
<td>a) Conduct verification of the conceptual model by tracing model to system requirements, using AADL tools, and working with STIL POC.</td>
<td>Results in final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2011</td>
<td>a) Demonstrate how AADL can aid in conceptual architectural verification.</td>
<td>Presentation and demo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Schedule and Deliverables (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>3) Demonstrate AADL-based VV&amp;A capabilities in the context of runtime architecture modeling.</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/2010</td>
<td>a) Model a subset of the STIL Runtime Architecture focused on Time-Space-Position Information (TSPI) data flow.</td>
<td>AADL model with final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2010</td>
<td>b) Perform runtime model verification using model traceability and AADL tools.</td>
<td>Analysis results in final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2010</td>
<td>c) Perform validation of the STIL runtime architectural model.</td>
<td>Validation results in final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2011</td>
<td>d) Demonstrate how AADL can aid in runtime architectural validation.</td>
<td>Presentation and demo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2011</td>
<td>4) Develop Final Report</td>
<td>Phase 1 Final Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) Description of tools and techniques used during the verification and validation process.</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Verification and validation results</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Provide drawings and overview description of each model</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Overall assessment of AADL and its impact on VV&amp;A</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deliverables

- Milestone Presentations
- AADL Demonstration
- Final Report
Demonstration

Demonstration will include:

– Overview of the task
– Automated units consistency
– AADL language checking
– Model constraints checking
– Analysis tools
Final Report

Final Report will include:

- Evaluation of VV&A capabilities of AADL
- Approach
  - AADL Description
  - Tools
  - Modeling process
  - Verification and validation techniques
- Models
- Results
  - Verification results
  - Validation results
- Conclusions
Present Status

• Currently creating model of the critical path
• The high level model will contain
  – Top level systems
  – Logical connectivity expressed using port groups
  – Physical connectivity expressed using buses
Critical Path

• Critical path for analysis is the Time, Space, Position Information (TSPI) data for the STIL
• Analysis will be performed on Reflective Memory versus Ethernet, the impacts of each configuration and how the STIL can be VV&A in regards to TSPI data
Current AADL Model

Conceptual Bus Connectivity

Legend
- Red: Power supplied to SUT
- Green: Interface to SUT - Wired and/or wireless
- Blue: Ethernet Bus
- Blue: Reflective Memory Bus

Legend
- Green: Time-Space-Position Information (TSPI) Data
- Red: Commands to SDAC
- Dark Green: Simulated/Injected data from ASE Tester
- Blue: Simulated/Injected data from Sensor Tester
- Light Green: Stimulated/Injected EGI
Challenges

• Complexity of the STIL
• Imprecise requirements for the STIL
• Maturity of the AADL tools
• Ability to make seamless two-way use of analysis tool results between this lower level and the architectural level
Future Work

• Continue expanding the STIL model to include other testers and subsystems to continue to explore
  – the benefits of using AADL for VV&A
  – can VV&A techniques be used on other existing models
  – combining AADL with SysML
  – the ability to model an entire system while including VV&A
  – integration of the STIL model with the Helicopter model
  – improving evidence from the STIL through a qualification for purpose through the architecture assessment and testing of the model to the environment

• UAHuntsville is in the process of creating new graduate programs in the Electrical and Computer Engineering department
  – First course will be in Software Safety Assurance,
  – Expansion of the model based design courses, where simulink as a modeling tool and produce real software (and/or hardware) from the model
  – Evaluating the inclusion of AADL as part of the degree program
  – Explore improved methods to VV&A models and simulations
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Back-Up
AADL Overview
# AADL VS UML

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UML</th>
<th>AADL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>Diagrams Tradition</td>
<td>Language Tradition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Depict functional structures</td>
<td>Define runtime behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representation</td>
<td>Diagrams; graphic</td>
<td>Textual and graphic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>Automated analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virtual Systems Integration Uncovers Errors Earlier in Development

Standardized architecture language with strong semantics, the Model Bus and Model Repository concepts in SAVI enable...

...early validation of system and embedded software system behavior to reduce integration errors.
AADL: Focus on the Embedded Software System Architecture

Focus on software runtime architecture

Embedded Application Software
Flight control & Mission

The System
Physical platform
Aircraft/Pilot

Control Guidance

Deployed on Utilizes

The Computer System
Computer System
Hardware & OS

AADL focuses on interaction between the three major elements of a software-intensive system based on architectural abstractions of each.
Why Choose AADL for Early VV&A (cont)

- AADL offers strong potential for interfacing with analysis tools currently in use through a standardized XMI (extraction of data) and through user defined property sets (declaration in the model of data).
- AADL models are compiled, allowing full semantic checking for correctness (inconsistent specifications will not compile).
- AADL semantics provide a bridge to formal analysis and proof checking for correctness (AADLtoMaude, Alloy based verification, BLESS proof generation, AADL to FIACRE to Model checkers).
- AADL semantics support correct by construction approaches and precise generation for automated system integration (METAH, TASTE, OCARINA), a necessary capability for creating systems to the models without the risk of introducing new errors.
- AADL is constructed for incremental development, with incremental validation to higher level constraints (requirements at the top) and verification of correctness at each stage of system design, proceeding to a precise generative integration of the system. It supports layered evidence of correctness of from low level components to high level architecture through layered abstraction.
- Most systems considered complex are software driven, the integrated behavior of the system can not be understood in advance without understanding the software and the runtime system that drives it. This is the major issue driving up the cost of aviation systems.
- To understand integrated behavior, the physical hardware, the software and the computer system must all be part of the specification. AADL specifications capture all three elements for integrated analysis.
Sampling of AADL Experimenters/Users

- System Architecture Virtual Integration (SAVI) of AVSI Boeing, Airbus, Lockheed Martin, BAE Systems, Rockwell, GE, Goodrich, FAA, DoD, Army, NASA. Also potential members of SAVI looking at AADL - Embraer, Thales, Dassault, European Space Agency, Japanese Space Agency (JAXA), United Technologies and Sikorsky, Honeywell, world class automotive company.
- Support for Predictable Integration of mission Critical Embedded Systems - SPICES - ITEA Project of 16 organizations in Europe including Airbus, Thales ...
- ASSERT - EU Project of 20 organizations in Europe including Thales and Astrium ...
- TOPCASED - European research program on Model Bus. Perhaps 30 organizations, some of which are using AADL.
- ARTIST II - 7 year European large scale research program, some using AADL.
- Open Group - International standardization organization looking to adopt AADL as a bridge to formal methods to evaluate correctness of systems. Many members (40-60).
- Open Group Japan (transition of key technology to Japan, involved in research across large Japanese companies), IPA (Govt equivalent of DARPA), JAXA.
- Research community working Model-Based Development and advanced architecture based analysis - about 50 organizations across 200 plus papers using AADL.
- US Army - AMRDEC, Apache, CAAS, Reliability (and Safety) Framework, SBIR on error propagation and containment using AADL.
- SEI - developing advanced analysis approaches for architecture-centric development, Architect for AADL language, Developer of OSATE, the reference toolset for AADL.
- NASA - New IV&V approach developed using AADL, Architecture study of Robotic Vehicle with AI.
- Air Force, Wright Patterson, AFRL - Three trained in AADL from lab in advanced system development. SBIR's using AADL.
- Air Force, Eglin, AFRL - four trained in AADL in lab for weapons development, SBIR's using AADL.
- Aerospace Corporation - Developed and using Dependability Analysis toolchain based on AADL on AF satellite programs.
- ESA - Developed new system engineering toolset and has started to apply in lab demonstration programs, based on AADL and correct by construction concepts. Moving toward integration into ESA programs through demonstrations of redevelopment of current subsystems on satellites.
- META - New DARPA program focused on verification and validation during system construction of Cyber-Physical Systems. Using AADL, SysML and Modelica as starting points, strongly oriented to tight semantics for evidence of correctness and highly integrating and automating the process from system development to manufacturing.
- Four out of five government labs visited in France, including VERIMAG, LAAS, and INRIA, ANR were using the AADL.
- Key researchers in the US in the area of software/system verification in the US and Europe. See "Software Reliant System Qualification" by Peter Feiler to construct a list. See also Peter Feiler list of research papers.
- Various architecture description languages that incorporate parts of AADL (MARTE and EAST but both with loose semantics).
- China - level of interest may be significant.
- Other countries - AADL spreading via graduating PhD students. For instance, Professor in Saudia Arabia is developing our AADL Requirements Annex.
STIL Overview
System Test and Integration Lab

Subsystem Testers Capabilities
- Navigation Systems
- Sensor Systems
- Aircraft Survivability Equipment
- Digital and Voice Comm Testing
- Test Support
- Modeling and Simulation
- Weapons and Armament Systems
- Human Factors Engineering
- Perform and Handling Qualities
- Crew Station Interface
- Aircraft Systems Testing

Test Control Center
Executive
Position Provider
EGI LRU Model
Virtual Environment
Crew Station Interface

Facility
System Under Test
System Test and Integration Lab

- STIL will provide a synthetic environment capable of immersing an instrumented aircraft and its systems in a controlled, repeatable and distributed virtual environment to enhance test capability; augment open-air testing; mitigate program risk, cost, and schedule; and provide a collaborative environment for system of systems testing.
- STIL provides a real-world problem involving a software intensive distributive system that requires precise, deterministic event ordering in order to meet requirements.
### Aviation STIL

#### Key Performance Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability</th>
<th>Block I</th>
<th>Block II</th>
<th>Block III</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPP-1:</strong> Architecture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Interfaces</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Interface ASE to Architecture in Block I, NAV in Block II and Others in Block III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Allow for Human in the Loop</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Prototype in Block I and Delivery in Blocks II and III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Exchange SUT data as required</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Interface CH-47F in Block I, other SUTs in Blocks II and III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Expandability</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Expandability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPP-2:</strong> Bused Aircraft</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Must be able to communicate with Bused Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPP-3:</strong> Central Control or Stand Alone</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Develop of central control that will be driven from TCC. Selected Stand Alone ASE for Block I. Delivery of Central Control and Stand Alone Navigation and ASE in Block II. Delivery of remaining Instrumentation based on priority and Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPP-4:</strong> TCC Connect thru DREN</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TCC-Lite (6 Workstations, Printer, two 50” displays) Delivery of Initial TCC DREN connectivity at 1Gb , Classified capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPP-5:</strong> Multiple Sensors</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASE and Navigation Remaining sensors based on Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPP-6:</strong> Multiple Aircraft</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Prototype with CH-47F Delivery of CH-47F and UH-60M AH-64D Block III, ARH, UAS : Not in Cost estimate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:** P = Preliminary capability   X = Expanded capability   F = Full capability
Why the STIL for VV&A?

- Provides a real-world problem of concern to DoD
- UML, PowerPoint, and other types of drawings of the STIL architecture already exist that can be used as a basis for an AADL model without starting from scratch.
- It is a software intensive distributed system that requires precise, and deterministic event ordering in order to meet requirements.